## **UNFUNDED MANDATES/Exemption for Independent Regulatory Issues**

SUBJECT: Unfunded Mandate and Reform Act of 1995 . . . S. 1. Kempthorne motion to table the Bingaman modified amendment No. 194.

## **ACTION: MOTION TO TABLE AGREED TO, 62-37**

**SYNOPSIS:** Pertinent votes on this legislation include Nos. 15-41, 43-45, 47-54, and 56-61.

As reported by the Governmental Affairs Committee and the Budget Committee, S. 1, the Unfunded Mandate Reform Act of 1995, will create 2 majority (51-vote) points of order in the Senate. The first will lie against the consideration of a bill or joint resolution reported by an authorizing committee if it contains mandates and if Congressional Budget Office (CBO) cost estimates on those mandates are unavailable. The second point of order will lie against the consideration of a bill, joint resolution, motion, amendment, or conference report that will cause the total cost of unfunded intergovernmental mandates in the legislation to exceed \$50 million.

The Bingaman modified amendment would remove the point of order against any unfunded intergovernmental mandate if that mandate would be properly considered for adoption as a rule by an independent regulatory agency as part of its existing authority.

Debate was limited by unanimous consent. Following debate. Senator Kempthorne moved to table the Bingaman amendment.

Debate was limited by unanimous consent. Following debate, Senator Kempthorne moved to table the Bingaman amendment. Generally, those favoring the motion to table opposed the amendment; those opposing the motion to table favored the amendment.

## **Those favoring** the motion to table contended:

We understand what the Senator from New Mexico is trying to accomplish, but we cannot agree with the method he has chosen in this amendment. We are desirous of working with him to achieve his goal without creating new exemptions as he has proposed. For now, we urge the tabling of this amendment.

## **Those opposing** the motion to table contended:

(See other side)

YEAS (62)			NAYS (37)			NOT VOTING (1)	
Republicans Democrats (52 or 100%) (10 or 21%)		Republicans	Dei	Democrats		Democrats	
		(10 or 21%)	(0 or 0%)	(37 or 79%)		(1)	(0)
Abraham Ashcroft Bennett Bond Brown Burns Chafee Coats Cochran Cohen Coverdell Craig D'Amato DeWine Donenici Faircloth Frist Gorton Gramm Grams Grassley Gregg Hatch Hatfield Hutchison	Inhofe Jeffords Kassebaum Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar Mack McCain McConnell Murkowski Nickles Packwood Pressler Roth Santorum Shelby Simpson Smith Snowe Specter Stevens Thomas Thompson Thurmond Warner	Baucus Feingold Feinstein Glenn Heflin Kohl Mikulski Nunn Robb Wellstone		Akaka Biden Bingaman Boxer Bradley Breaux Bryan Bumpers Byrd Campbell Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Exon Ford Graham Harkin	Hollings Inouye Johnston Kennedy Kerrey Kerry Lautenberg Leahy Levin Lieberman Moseley-Braun Moynihan Murray Pell Pryor Reid Rockefeller Sarbanes Simon	EXPLANAT 1—Official I 2—Necessar 3—Illness 4—Other  SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired PN—Paired	ily Absent inced Yea inced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 55 JANUARY 26, 1995

The Bingaman amendment is eminently reasonable. It makes no sense to let independent regulatory agencies impose unfunded mandates on the States without first considering the costs and to deny Congress the same right. The Bingaman amendment would still require that estimates be prepared for new statutes; it would only remove the point of order against mandates that were not fully funded. We understand that this amendment will be tabled, but it was important to bring it to a vote to make certain that Senators are aware of the issue. After this vote, we understand that opponents of this amendment will work with us to try to resolve our differences. For now, we will vote against the motion to table.